

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,650	12/01/2003	Zer Kai Yap	TEC1327	2316
832	7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
111 E. WAY SUITE 800	'NE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			3744	-

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		igorplus				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/724,650	YAP, ZER KAI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
· <u> </u>	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	-				
Application Papers	;	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by accepted or by abjected acceptance. See some sequired if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,5,6,7,8,9,10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. (JP 04254154) in view of Teagan (US Patent Number 4,474,018) and Boardman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,330,809).

In regard to claims 1,6 and 8, Yoshida et al. disclose a heat storage device. The device comprises a water storage vessel 11, a first heat exchanger 2, a second heat exchanger 4, a first compression mechanism 1, a second compression mechanism 3, an expansion device 5, pressure reduce valve 8 and an evaporator 6. The first heat exchanger 2 is disposed between the first compression mechanism 1 and the second compression mechanism 3. The second heat exchanger 4 is disposed between the second compression mechanism 3 and the expansion device 5. Yoshida et al. disclose the direct heat transfer between the water storage and the refrigerant. However, Yoshida et al. do not disclose water circuit to transfer the heat and these two heat exchangers arranged in parallel. Teagan discloses a water storage vessel 6 with a water circuit 8,16 and pump 10 and Boardman et al. disclose two heat exchangers arranged in parallel in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of effective transfer heat. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Yoshida et al. with a water storage vessel with a water circuit in view of Teagan and

Art Unit: 3744

Boardman et al. so as to provide effective transfer heat. In regard to claim 5, carbon dioxide is a well-known refrigerant and the refrigerant condition has no impact in the apparatus claim. In regard to claim 7, the arrangement of each component is a design choice and not patentable. In regard to claims 9,10 and 12, under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

3. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. (JP 04254154) in view of Teagan (U.S. Patent Number 4,474,018).

The addition of duplicate parts to the apparatus is not the type of innovation for a patent to be granted. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc., 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th Cir. 1977).

4. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. and Teagan as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thompson (U.S. Patent Number 6,729,151 equivalent to WO 01/22011 (3/29/2001)).

Yoshida et al. and Teagan discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However,
Yoshida et al. and Teagan does not disclose refrigerant heat exchanger. Thompson discloses the
refrigerant heat exchanger in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of increase efficiency.
Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to provide the apparatus of Yoshida et al. and Teagan with a internal heat
exchanger in view of Thompson so as to improve efficiency.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,650

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

Page 4

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,650

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Page 5

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner 4

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).